

Effects Of Storage Life and Variety on The Functional Properties of Stored Achicha

David-Chukwu N. P^{1*}; Onwuka, G. I.²; Onuabuchi, I. C.¹; Amadi, A. G.¹; Chukwu, M. N.³; Odom, T. C.³; Agbanyim, A. N.⁴ and Onumadu, K. S.³

¹Department of Hospitality Management and Tourism, Abia State Polytechnic, Aba, Nigeria

²Department of Food Science and Technology, Michael Okpara University of Agriculture, Umudike, Nigeria.

³Department of Food Technology, Abia State Polytechnic, Aba, Nigeria.

⁴Department of Chemistry/Biochemistry, Abia State Polytechnic, Aba, Nigeria.

Corresponding Author: David-Chukwu, N. P^{1*}, Department of Food Technology, Abia State Polytechnic, Aba, Nigeria.

Received date: Jan 01,2024; **Accepted date:** Feb 16,2024; **Published date:** Feb 19,2024

Citation: David-Chukwu, N. P^{1*}, (2024), Effects of Storage Life and Variety on The Functional Properties of Stored Achicha. **Dietary Nourishment and Food Processing Techniques (DNFPT)1(1)**, DOI: [10.1875/dnfpt.2024/004](https://doi.org/10.1875/dnfpt.2024/004).

Copyright: © 2024, David-Chukwu, N. P^{1*}, this is an open-access article distributed under the terms of The Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.

Abstract:

The effects of storage life and variety on the functional properties of stored achicha were determined. A-5 kg corms were sorted, washed and boiled for 3 hours and was cooled, peeled and cut into small sizes of average of 2.0 cm by 1.5 cm dimension with a sharp kitchen knife; dried under the sun for 5 days. The dried corms (achicha) were pulverized with a locally fabricated machine and stored in plastic containers for 0, 1, 2, 3-month(s) intervals. The data obtained were analyzed statistically using SPSS version 23. Means were separated at $P \leq 0.05$ using Fisher's Least Significant Differences. After 3 months storage, edeefe, cocoindia and anampu had the following functional properties of achicha: bulk density (0.85, 0.70, 0.60)g/ml, swelling index (0.82, 1.09, 0.92), water absorption capacity (2.08, 2.15, 2.13), oil absorption capacity(0.65, 0.85, 0.86), wettability (16.80, 13.81, 15.72)s, gelation capacity (0.78, 0.70, 0.39)g/5ml, gelation temperature (84, 80, 83)°C, foam stability (5.08, 6.94, 5.00)%, foam capacity (11.29, 12.78, 9.10)%, viscosity (0.11, 0.18, 0.16)mPa and pH (5.59, 6.35, 6.03) respectively. The functional properties showed that they have better potential as soup thickeners. This showed that cocoindia had higher values in functional properties than other varieties after 3 months storage which indicates that it would be a better thickener than other varieties in soup and sauce preparations.

Key Words: Storage, pH, thickener, absorption, capacity, density

Introduction

Cocoyam (*Colocassia* and *Xanthosoma* spp.) is a stem tuber that is widely grown in the tropical regions of the world (Eze and Okorji, 2003). About 60% of the World's cocoyam production (5.7 million ton) is in Africa and majority of the remaining 40% in Asia and the Pacific (Mitra et al., 2007). Eze and Okorji (2003) also reported that Nigeria is the largest producer of cocoyam in the world, accounting for about 40% of the total world output. According to Manner and Taylor (2010), in other parts of the world, species of *Colocasia* are often referred to as taro, while cocoyam or tannia is used for species of *Xanthosoma*. In the Pacific Island countries where taro is widely cultivated and consumed, two botanical varieties of *Colocasia* have been identified as *C. esculenta* var. *esculenta*, many times called dasheen, and *C. esculenta* var. *esculenta*, frequently called eddoe. It is referred to as the third important staple root crop after yam and cassava in Nigeria and provides a cheaper yam replacement, especially during periods of food scarcity or insufficient food supply (Onyeka, 2014).

The traditional way to cook taro is roasting on stones or baking in a ground oven. More modern ways of processing taro include steaming, boiling, or baking in the oven (Souidy et al., 2010). It must be thoroughly cooked to prevent mouth and throat itching. The corms and leaves of taro are usually eaten by humans after heat treatments, such as boiling, blanching, steaming, baking, roasting, stewing, and frying and pressure cooking. These methods are effective in improving its digestibility, increasing nutrient bioavailability, minimizing anti-nutritional factors and food-borne diseases. When taro corms are processed into powder and further decrease will occur when processed into taro noodles and cookies (Souidy, et al., 2010). Therefore, the combination of cooking time temperature program is necessary to preserve the nutrients and deactivates the anti-nutritional factors. Cooking substantially may be used in the management of non-communicable illnesses such as obesity, heart disease, blood pressure, diabetes, cancer and gastrointestinal disorders because of the high fibre content (Souidy et al., 2010).

The roots and leaves of the cocoyam (*Colocasia esculenta*) are

extremely perishable; post-harvest losses of up to 40–60% have been reported (Anaele and Nwawusi, 2008). A significant obstacle to the broader use of the crop is the high perishability of the harvested and stored cocoyam roots and leaves; therefore, it is necessary to diversify the uses in order to boost demand and accelerate the rate of turnover or product sale. Production and processing are hampered by inadequate cocoyam processing technology. Over time, local farmers have turned to sun-drying as a method of cocoyam preservation because of the highly perishable nature of the crop. The impact of these procedures and storage techniques on the overall caliber of the cocoyam products must be assessed (David-Chukwu et al., 2021a, b; 2022).

Achicha (dried cocoyam corms/cormels) is a pre-cooked, sun-dried cocoyam corms/cormels and it lends its name to the vegetarian dish made with it. Achicha does not only have enjoyable taste and satisfying but also low in calories. Achicha can be cooked in combination with fiofio (pigeon pea) or black beans (akidi oji), these are highly nutritional. Green leafy vegetables can also be added to it, for example, green amaranth, ugu and scent leaf. Dry fish is also added which is an optional ingredient (David-Chukwu et al., 2021a, b; 2022).

Assessing how storage duration and variety affect the functional characteristics of a product made from cocoyam is the primary goal of this study (achicha). The production of achicha, or dried cocoyam corms or cormels, and an assessment of its functional qualities after three months of storage are the means by which this can be accomplished. Small-scale farmers will have a market if cocoyam corms and cormels are processed into more shelf-stable dry products like achicha, which will decrease post-harvest losses of cocoyam and increase its potential applications. Both the manufacturers and the consumers of this product would feel more confident after an evaluation of the achicha.

2.0 Materials and Methods

2.1 Materials

The National Root Crop Research Institute in Umudike, Abia State, provided the fresh cocoyam corms/cormels (ede ofe, NCE 002), cocoindia, NCE 001, and ukpong/anampu, NCE 004). The agronomist from the Cocoyam Unit of the National Research Institute Umudike, Abia State, identified the fresh samples. Figures 1 and 2 depict the cocoyam corms/cormels.

2.2 Methods

2.2.1 Processing of Corms/cormels into Achicha (dried cocoyam)

For every sample, five kilograms of cocoyam corms or cormels were sorted, cleaned, and cooked for three hours. With a sharp kitchen knife, it was cooled, peeled, and cut into small pieces, measuring an average of 2.0 cm by 1.5 cm. For five days, from nine in the morning to six in the evening, they were laid out on a mat and allowed to dry in the sun. A locally built machine was used to grind the dried cocoyam corms/cormels (achicha) before they were placed in different plastic containers for duration of three months. The samples were examined at zero-, one-, two-, and three-month intervals. Figures 1, 2, 3, and 4 display images of the cocoyam plant, corms/cormels, and processed achicha, respectively. Additionally, Figure 3 depicts the flow chart for producing achicha from cocoyam corms/cormels (David-Chukwu et al., 2021a, b; 2022).

2.2.2 Determination of Functional Properties of Achicha

The functional properties of the achicha flour samples were measured, and these included bulk densities, swelling index, wettability, gelation capacity, gelation temperature, foam stability, foam capacity, viscosity, and pH.

2.2.2.1 Determination of Bulk Density

Using the technique outlined by Chukwu et al. (2018) and Peter-Ikechukwu et al. (2020), the bulk density of the flour samples was calculated. The sample was put into an A-10 ml graduated cylinder. On a lab bench, the bottom of the cylinder was repeatedly tapped gently. Once the 10 ml mark was filled, the procedure was repeated until the sample level did not decrease any further. The weight of the samples divided by the sample volume (g/ml) was used to compute bulk density.

$$\text{Bulk density (g/ml)} = \frac{\text{Weight of Sample (g)}}{\text{Volume of Sample (ml)}}$$

2.2.2.2 Determination of Swelling Index

Using the technique outlined by Mbanali et al. (2018), the swelling index was calculated. It was calculated as the proportion of the swollen volume of extra water. A 1 g sample of flour was mixed with 10 ml of distilled water in a calibrated 10-milliliter measuring cylinder, and the volume was recorded. For one hour, the cylinder was allowed to remain undisturbed. After recording the volume that the sample subsequently occupied, the swelling capacity was computed as follows:

$$\text{Swelling Index} = \frac{\text{Volume occupied by sample after swelling}}{\text{Volume occupied by sample before swelling}}$$



Fig 1: Plant *Colocasia esculenta*



Fig 2: Corms/cormels of *Colocasia esculenta*




Fig3: The production flow chart for *achicha*



Fig: Unprocessed *achicha*

2.2.2.3 Determination of water and oil absorption capacity

According to Peter-Ikechukwu *et al.* (2020), the water and oil absorption capacity was determined. Ten milliliters of distilled water or oil were added to a graduated centrifuge tube containing a weighed sample weighing one gram. After that, the sample was well combined and left to stand at room temperature for half an hour. For thirty minutes, the mixture was centrifuged at 4000 rpm. For the purpose of converting the volume of free water or oil (the supernatant) to grams, its density was multiplied. The result was expressed as grams of water or oil absorbed per gram of sample. It was assumed that oil had a density of 0.88 g/ml and water had a

density of 1 g/ml

$$\text{WAC/OAC} = \frac{V_1 - V_2}{W} D$$

Where:

WAC=Water absorption capacity

OAC=Oil absorption capacity

V_1 =Initial volume of water or oil

V_2 =Final volume after centrifuging

W= Weight of sample.

D = Density of water/ oil

2.2.2.4 Determination of Wettability

One gram of the sample was weighed into a 25 ml graduated cylinder with a 1 cm diameter, slightly modified from Chukwu *et al.* (2018). The cylinder was inverted, clamped at a height of 10 cm from the surfaces of a 600 ml breaker containing 500 ml of distilled water, and the open end was covered with aluminum foil paper. The aluminum foil paper was then removed to allow the test material to be damped. The amount of time needed for the sample to get completely wet was its wettability.

2.2.2.5 Determination of Gelation capacity

The gelation capacity was calculated using an Onwuka (2018) modified method. In test tubes, 2–20% (w/v) flour suspensions were made using 5 milliliters of distilled water. The suspensions were quickly cooled under cold running tap water after being brought to a boil in a water bath for an hour. The least gelling concentration, also known as the gelation capacity, was defined as the lowest concentration at which the gel formed did not collapse or slips from the invented test tube.

2.2.2.6 Determination of Gelation Temperature

The gelation temperature was calculated utilizing the Onwuka (2018) method. In a test tube, a 10% w/v sample was made. Thirty seconds after gelatinization was visibly observed, the temperature of the aqueous suspension was measured while it was heated in a boiling water bath while being continuously stirred.

2.2.2.7 Determination of Foam stability

Foam stability was assessed by applying the Onwuka (2018) method. In a blender, 2 grams of each sample's measured weight was whipped for 5 minutes at 1600 rpm using 100 milliliters of distilled water. The whipped mixture was poured into a graduated cylinder measuring 150 milliliters. After gently adding 10 milliliters of distilled water to the graduated cylinder, the blender jar was cleaned. The cylinder's foam volume varies every 15, 30, 60, 90, and 120 seconds.

$$\text{Foam stability} = \frac{\text{Foam Volume after time}}{\text{Initial Foam Volume}} \times 100$$

2.2.2.8 Determination of Foam Capacity

The Chukwu *et al.* (2018) method was utilized to ascertain the foam capacity. Each sample was weighed out at 2 g, and it was blended for 5 minutes at 1600 rpm using 100 ml of distilled water in a blender. A 150 ml graduated cylinder was filled with the whipped mixture. After being cleaned with 10 milliliters of distilled water, the

blender jar was carefully placed into the graduated cylinder, and after 30 seconds, the volume was recorded. Volumes recorded both before and after whipping were computed as follows:

$$\text{Foam capacity} = \frac{\text{Volume after whipping} - \text{Volume before whipping}}{\text{Volume before whipping}} \times 100$$

2.2.2.9 Determination of Viscosity

According to Peter-Ikechukwu *et al.* (2019), a sample weighing 10 g was dissolved in 100 ml of distilled water and kept at room temperature for two hours while being mechanically stirred. We used a viscometer (model NDJ-9S) to measure the viscosity

2.2.2.10 Determination of pH

Chukwu *et al.* (2018) and Peter-Ikechukwu *et al.* (2020) provided the method used to determine the pH. In distilled water, a sample (10% w/v) was prepared. The sample was well combined, and a

phmetre (model PHS-3C, China) was used to measure the pH.

2.2.3 Statistical analysis

Version 23 of SPSS software was used to statistically analyze the obtained triplicate data. After determining the mean values, One-Way ANOVA was performed, and Fisher's Least Significant Difference (Landau and Everitt, 2004) was applied to separate the means ($P \leq 0.05$).

3.0 Results and Discussion

3.1 Functional Properties of *Achicha* During Three Months of Storage

The comparison of the mean functional properties of three distinct *Colocasia* varieties (edeofe, cocoindia, and anampu) of processed *achicha* over a three-month storage period is presented in {Table 1}.

Functional Properties	Edeofe (Month)				Cocoindia (Month)				Anampu (Month)			
	0	1	2	3	0	1	2	3	0	1	2	3
BD	1.01 ^a ±0.01	0.99 ^b ±0.01	0.87 ^c ±0.00	0.85 ^d ±0.01	0.77 ^e ±0.01	0.75 ^f ±0.01	0.73 ^g ±0.00	0.70 ^h ±0.01	0.66 ⁱ ±0.01	0.65 ^j ±0.00	0.62 ^k ±0.01	0.60 ^l ±0.00
Swelling Index	2.92 ^a ±0.03	1.33 ^{cde} ±0.03	1.01 ^{def} ±0.00	0.82 ^f ±0.03	2.10 ^b ±0.00	1.76 ^{bc} ±0.03	1.42 ^{cd} ±0.03	1.09 ^{cdef} ±0.00	2.57 ^a ±0.03	1.25 ^{cde} ±0.03	1.09 ^{cdef} ±0.66	0.92 ^{ef} ±0.00
WAC	2.20 ^d ±0.01	2.16 ^c ±0.01	2.10 ^b ±0.01	2.08 ⁱ ±0.01	2.30 ^a ±0.01	2.22 ^c ±0.01	2.20 ^d ±0.01	2.15 ^f ±0.01	2.30 ^a ±0.01	2.25 ^b ±0.01	2.20 ^d ±0.01	2.13 ^e ±0.01
OAC	0.88 ^f ±0.03	0.72 ⁱ ±0.01	0.68 ^j ±0.01	0.65 ^k ±0.00	1.06 ^e ±0.01	0.98 ^d ±0.00	0.92 ^c ±0.00	0.85 ^b ±0.00	2.11 ^a ±0.01	2.00 ^b ±0.00	0.90 ^c ±0.00	0.86 ^e ±0.00
Wettability	27.05 ^a ±0.03	27.00 ^b ±0.00	16.89 ^d ±0.03	16.80 ^e ±0.00	15.24 ⁱ ±0.03	14.15 ^j ±0.03	14.10 ^k ±0.03	13.81 ^l ±0.03	17.1N5 ^c ±0.03	16.05 ^f ±0.03	15.80 ^g ±0.03	15.72 ^h ±0.00
Gelatin capacity	0.92 ^a ±0.90	0.91 ^a ±0.70	0.91 ^a ±0.50	0.78 ^b ±0.78	0.91 ^a ±0.72	0.72 ^c ±0.41	0.70 ^c ±0.91	0.70 ^c ±0.70	0.50 ^d ±0.41	0.41 ^e ±0.92	0.41 ^e ±0.91	0.39 ^e ±0.39
Gelatin Temperature	91.00 ^a bc±2.83	88.00 ^{bc} ±2.83	85.00 ^{cd} ±2.83	84.00 ^d ±2.83	88.00 ^{bc} ±2.83	86.00 ^c ±2.83	81.50 ^d ±2.83	80.00 ^d ±2.83	90.00 ^{abc} ±0.00	86.00 ^c ±2.83	83.00 ^d ±0.28	83.00 ^d ±2.83
Foam Stability	6.72 ^c ±0.03	5.43 ^f ±0.03	5.10 ^g ±0.03	5.08 ^g ±0.03	10.78 ^a ±0.03	9.56 ^b ±0.03	8.07 ^c ±0.03	6.94 ^d ±0.03	6.22 ^e ±0.03	5.79 ^f ±0.03	5.22 ^f ±0.03	5.00 ^g ±0.03
Foam Capacity	13.69 ^c ±0.04	12.69 ^f ±0.03	11.63 ^g ±0.03	11.29 ^h ±0.04	14.84 ^a ±0.03	14.36 ^b ±0.03	13.27 ^d ±0.03	12.78 ^e ±0.03	12.43 ^g ±0.03	12.30 ^h ±0.04	9.44 ^k ±0.03	9.10 ^l ±0.03
Viscosity	0.19 ^a ±0.18	0.17 ^{ab} ±0.02	0.12 ^c ±0.18	0.11 ^c ±0.17	0.20 ^a ±0.20	0.20 ^a ±0.18	0.19 ^a ±0.13	0.18 ^a ±0.19	0.18 ^a ±0.18	0.18 ^a ±0.11	0.18 ^a ±0.18	0.16 ^{ab} ±0.16
pH	5.99 ^f ±0.00	5.71 ^g ±0.03	5.65 ^h ±0.00	5.59 ^h ±0.00	6.64 ^a ±0.03	6.50 ^b ±0.00	6.44 ^c ±0.03	6.35 ^d ±0.03	6.44 ^c ±0.03	6.34 ^d ±0.00	6.12 ^e ±0.00	6.03 ^f ±0.03

3.1.1 Bulk Density (g/ml)

Achicha's bulk density varied between 0.60 and 1.01 g/ml. There was a significant difference ($p < 0.05$) observed between the samples. At one month, edeefe had the highest bulk density, while at three months, anampu had the lowest. Sample bulk densities decreased with lengthening storage times. The bulk density of the flour samples is greater than the 0.57–0.71 g/ml and 0.689 g/ml values for taro flours reported by Njintang et al. (2007) and Kaur et al. (2013).

Ezeocha et al. (2011) found that cooked trifoliate yam flour had a bulk density of 0.86 g/cm³. In numerous handling, storing, and processing procedures, the bulk characteristics of particulate foods are directly and significantly important. According to Adepeju et al. (2011), high bulk density is preferred because it allows for more packing advantage by allowing for the packing of larger quantities into a given volume. The powder's flow ability and storage stability may be impacted by internal cohesion, which is one physical characteristic that they can indirectly indicate (Peter-Ikechukwu et al., 2019). Drying reduces flour's bulk density, according to Hayat et al. (2006). It measures the weight of solid samples, which is crucial for figuring out how to handle materials, package products, and apply them in the food business (Falade and Okafor, 2015).

According to Owuamanam et al. (2010), bulk density and porosity are influenced by the flour's particle size and are crucial factors in the mixing, sorting, packing, and transportation of particulate matter (Dough formation). For improved dispersibility and a thinner paste, a higher bulk density is generally preferred (Udensi and Eke, 2000). Chukwu et al. (2018) state that a high bulk density is a beneficial physical characteristic for assessing the mixing quality of particulate matter. As particle size and bulk density are inversely correlated, bulk density is a function of particle size (Onimawo and Akubor, 2012). Low bulk density may arise from the starch polymers' loose structure, according to reports that the starch polymers' structure affects bulk density (Malomo et al., 2010). Since food products typically use flours with high bulk densities (>0.7 g/cm³) as thickeners (Akurbor and Badifu, 2004), the edeefe and cocoinidia in this study may also be appropriate for this purpose.

For babies, low bulk density is beneficial because it increases the child's calorie and nutritional density with each feeding. Since flour has a low bulk density, it can be easily distributed and transported to the necessary locations, making it a good physical attribute for transportation and storability (Agunbiade and Sanni, 2003).

3.1.2 Swelling index

The range of the swelling index was 0.92 to 2.9. There was a significant difference ($p < 0.05$) between the samples. The edeefe (2.92 ml) had the highest swelling index value at zero months, while the anampu (0.92 ml) had the lowest value at three months. The size of the particles, the variety, and the types of unit operations or processing techniques all affect how much a flour can swell. Research indicates that parboiled rice flour has a higher capacity to swell than raw rice flour. When compared to other root crops like cassava, cocoyam samples typically exhibit a good swelling index (Oyinaka et al., 2009). This can be attributed to the unique granule form and high digestibility of cocoyam starch. About one tenth of the starch grain in potatoes is found in cocoyams (Akomas et al., 1987; Peter-Ikechukwu et al., 2020). The size of specifics, variety types, and processing techniques or unit operations all affect the flours' swelling index. Loose particles affect both the swelling capacity and the swelling index (Chukwu et al., 2018).

3.1.3 Water absorption capacity (WAC)

Achicha has a range of water absorption capacities, from 2.08 to 2.30. There was a significant difference ($p < 0.05$) observed between the samples. At zero months, the highest WAC values were found in Cocoinidia (2.30) and Anampu (2.30). At three months, edeefe had the lowest WAC value (2.08). According to Abiodun and Akinoso (2014), the amounts of flour obtained from trifoliate yams that were left untreated and those that were soaked at room temperature varied from 1.47 to 2.53 ml/H₂O/g and 1.66 to 2.25 ml/H₂O/g, respectively. The water that a food product retains after filtering and applying a light centrifugal pressure is referred to as its water absorption capacity. Increased flour water absorption contributes to the preservation of bread, cakes, and sausage freshness. Flour's superior ability to absorb water makes it a preferred ingredient for thickening soups. The loss of starch crystalline structure, increased amylose leaching, and increased solubility have all historically been linked to rising WAC levels. It's possible that the flour with high water absorption contains more hydrophilic ingredients, like polysaccharides. Proteins can interact with water in food because they are both hydrophilic and hydrophobic in nature. According to Butt and Batool (2010), variations in protein concentration, their levels of water interaction, and their conformational traits could be the cause of the variation in the samples. Flour absorbs more when it is dried (Hayata et al., 2006). According to Niba et al. (2001), baking applications as well as product consistency and bulking depend on water absorption capacity. When developing ready-to-eat foods, the ability to absorb water is crucial, and a high absorption capacity can ensure the cohesiveness of the final product (Houson and Ayenor, 2002). The degree to which native starch granules disintegrate affects the water absorption capacity of flours, indicating that undamaged starches have low potential absorption capacities. According to Chukwu et al. (2018), the WAC of flour allows the processor to add more water during food preparation, improving handling characteristics and profit ability while maintaining the freshness of bakery products. Colocasia species are well suited for use as a soup thickener due to their high water absorption capacity (Owuamanam et al., 2010).

In line with the findings of Malomo et al. (2012), which show that the WAC decreases with increasing protein content, the water absorption capacity in this work decreases as protein content increases (David-Chukwu et al., 2021). When it comes to dough and pastes, for example, the water absorption characteristics show how well the production associate can bind with water. (Mbanali et al., 2018; 2019).

Food materials' ability to absorb water is sometimes linked to the amount of protein and starch they contain (Ali et al., 2013). It is also thought that boiling the food can cause the protein molecules to separate or change into monomeric subunits, which may have more water binding sites (Obieguna et al., 2014). Kinsella et al. (1985) state that all forms of hydrated water and some water that is still loosely connected to protein after centrifugation are included in the binding water (Chukwu et al., 2018). Palatability, digestibility, physical structure, and technical handling are all significantly influenced by the amount of water present in food and its concentration, which is determined by the water absorption capacity and stability (Obieguna et al., 2014). In food systems, having the ability to absorb water is desirable for increasing food consistency, yield, and body (Osundahusi et al., 2003). The ability of a substance to absorb water depends on its size, shape, pH, salt content, and the presence of proteins, carbs, and fats. Prior processing may also have

an impact, including heating, alkali processing, disulfide linking, etc. (Iwe, 2003).

3.1.4 Oil absorption capacity (ml/g)

The achicha flour had an oil absorption capacity (OAC) ranging from 0.65 to 2.11. There was a significant difference between the samples ($p < 0.05$). At one month, the OAC value was highest in Anampu, and at three months, it was lowest in Edeofe. OAC was reported to range between 22.0 and 27.5% by Falade and Okafor (2015). An extremely desirable feature in products like mayonnaise, oil absorption capacity indicates the emulsifying capacity and the amount of oil that can be picked up by a sample during frying. Protein, which consists of both hydrophilic and hydrophobic components, is the main chemical factor influencing oil absorption capacity. Lipid hydrocarbon chains and non-polar amino acid side chains can interact hydrophobically (Eltayeb et al., 2011). Fats enhance food's flavour and mouth feel, so fat absorption is a crucial component of food formulations (Adepeju et al., 2011). Oils are physically trapped, which is the primary cause of oil absorption capacity. As stated by Onimawo and Akubor (2012), it is a measure of the speed at which protein binds to fat in food formulas. Food formulas like sausages and baked goods benefit from the use of OAC. Fat enhances the mouth-feel of food and helps to hold onto flavour. Fatty ingredients improve the texture of the baked good and boost the baking powder's leavening power. Protein, which is made up of both hydrophilic and hydrophobic components, is the main chemical element that affects OAC. According to Jitngarmkusol et al. (2008), Chukwu et al. (2018), Mbanali et al. (2018), 2019; Peter-Ikechukwu et al. (2019, 2020), side chains of non-polar amino acids can interact hydrophobically with the hydrocarbon chains of lipids.

3.1.5 Wettability (second)

Samples' wettability varied from 13.81 s to 27.05 s. The samples differed significantly ($p < 0.05$). The wettability value in edeofe was 27.05 s at zero months, while the value in cocoindia was 13.81 s at three months. In the formulation of food, wettability is crucial. Protein wettability is determined by the size, microstructure, topography, texture, and area of the protein particles as well as by surface polarity, but not always by the quantity of native structure (Chukwu et al., 2018). Different *D. alata* flour varieties (27-35 s) were noted by Udensi et al. (2008).

3.1.6 Gelation capacity (g/5ml)

The samples varied in ways that were statistically significant ($p < 0.05$). Samples ranged in gelation capacity from 0.39 to 0.92 g/5 ml. The gelation capacity of edeofe (0.92 g/5ml) at zero months was the highest, while that of 0.39 g/5ml at three months was the lowest. According to Aremu et al. (2007) and Peter-Ikechukwu et al. (2019, 2020), interactions between various constituents, including protein, carbohydrates, and lipids, may play a significant role in the functional properties of pulse/legume flours, which could explain the variation in their gelling properties. The ability of a flour sample to form gel is known as its gelation capacity. The values of least gelation concentration compared favourably to those reported by Abbey and Ayuk (1991) for African yam beans, which ranged from 16 to 20%.

3.1.7 Gelation Temperature (o C)

Sample differences were statistically significant ($p < 0.05$). The sample was gelled at a temperature between 80 oC and 91 oC. The temperature at which gelation occurred was highest in edeofe (91

oC) at zero month, and lowest in cocoindia (80 oC) at three months. Compared to *Xanthosoma* spp., *Colocasia* spp. displayed a higher gelling point. This suggested that the crystals of *Colocasia* spp. flour were more stable when heated. According to Sai-Ut et al. (2009), the gelling temperature is the point at which applying heat causes a food solution to visibly thicken. The presence of additional ingredients, such as proteins and lipids, in cocoyam flours may prevent granules from swelling and hence raise the amount of heat needed to achieve the final swelling, which could account for the flour samples' comparatively high gelling temperature (Chukwu et al., 2018; Peter-Ikechukwu et al., 2019; 2020). Previous reports have documented comparable observations (Chukwu et al., 2018). The cocoyam flours' boiling points ranged widely, from 88.5 °C (*Xanthosoma* spp.) to 80 °C (edeofe). This temperature is the point at which starch begins to gel. The results of the study showed that higher starch flour required the lowest temperature to gelatinize. According to Amandiki (2012), a high gelling temperature denotes low starch content. The relative ratio of amylose to amylopectin in flour may be related to the gelling temperature, as suggested by Owuamanam et al. (2010). That means using less energy to cook the food is the implication of the outcome.

3.1.8 Foam Stability (%)

Foam stability ranged from 5.02 to 10.78 percent. Anampu (2.22 %) had the least amount of foam stability among the samples at three months, while cocoindia (10.78 %) had the highest foam stability at zero months. There was a significant difference between the samples ($p < 0.05$). Foam stability and capacity are inversely correlated. Large air bubbles surrounded by a thinner, less flexible protein film may form on frothy flours. According to Jitngarmkusol et al. (2008), Chukwu et al. (2018), Peter-Ikechukwu et al. (2019), and 2020, these air bubbles may be less stable because they collapse more easily. Moreover, the quantity of polar and non-polar lipids as well as solubilized protein in a sample affects foam stability (Zhou et al., 2011).

3.1.9 Foam Capacity (%)

The range of foam capacity was 9.10% to 14.84 %. There was a significant difference ($p < 0.05$) between the samples. The zero-month cocoindia had the highest foam capacity (14.84%), while the three-month anampu had the lowest foam capacity (9.10%). According to Zhou et al. (2011), foam stability and capacity indicate the amount of adsorbed air on the air-liquid interface during whipping or bubbling as well as the material's capacity to form a cohesive viscoelastic film via intermolecular interactions. Moreover, the quantity of solubilized protein and the amount of polar and non-polar lipids in a sample are connected to foam stability (Zhou et al., 2011). Previous studies, however, have demonstrated that surface tension, pH, protein types, processing techniques, and viscosity all affect foam stability and capacity (Akubor, 2003). Food texture, consistency, and appearance can all be enhanced with the use of foams.

The amount of interfacial area that a protein can create is referred to as its foam capacity. A colloidal of numerous gas bubbles trapped in a liquid or solid is called foam. Thin liquid films encircle tiny air bubbles. The low protein content of cocoyam flour has been linked to its generally low foaming capacity (Ibebuchi and Uzoegbu, 2002). However, Amon et al. (2011) found that the flour from boiling taro corms had the highest capacity to foam and proposed that heating had a positive impact on the three main components of foam formation: surface tension, viscosity, and protein film characteristics. Food's foam properties are related functional

properties of proteins. In order to achieve the best foam formation, the surfactant needs to be soluble in liquid phase and have the ability to migrate and orient quickly in order to create an interfacial film around gas bubbles that are just beginning to form. On the other hand, Kinsella (1985) found that limited heating promotes foam formation by raising the rate of surface adsorption, stable surface pressures, and surface concentration of globular proteins, which causes partial unfolding of the proteins without causing thermal coagulation. Protein solubility is often reduced as a sign of denaturation (Chukwu et al., 2018; Peter-Ikechukwu et al., 2019; 2020).

3.1.10. Viscosity (mPa.)

The samples' viscosities varied from 0.11 to 0.20 mPa. All samples, with the exception of edeefe at two and three months (0.12 mPa and 0.11 mPa), were significantly ($p > 0.05$) similar. At zero and one month, *cocoidia* (0.20 mPa.) had the highest viscosity value. The three months of edeefe had the lowest viscosity (0.11 mPa). A higher viscosity indicates that the starch granules are intact, whereas viscosity is lost in starch that has experienced chemical and microbial damage (Mbanali et al., 2018; 2019; Peter-Ikechukwu et al., 2019; 2020). Viscosity can be thought of as a measure of the strength of starch granules.

3.1.11 pH

The *achicha* had pH values between 5.59 and 6.64. At zero month, *cocoidia* had the highest pH value (6.64). Sample differences were statistically significant ($p < 0.05$). According to Chukwu et al. (2018), Peter-Ikechukwu et al. (2019), and (2020), the cocoyam samples' pH values fell between 5.9 and 6.64, which is considered low acidity and may encourage the growth and multiplication of microorganisms, especially in slurry form. As a result, in order to prolong the storage life, preservation measures such as water activity reduction and the addition of chemical anti-microbial agents and antioxidants may be necessary (Owuamanam et al., 2010). Based on this study, it is expected that edeefe will last longer than the other samples. *Colocasia* species displayed lower pH values than their *Xanthosoma*

species, according to Falade and Okafor (2015). While the pH values of each sample ranged from 6.56 (*cocoidia*) to 7.59 (*edeefe* green), they were all within the neutral pH range. The pH range of 4 to 8, where the cocoyam flours fall, may encourage the growth and multiplication of microorganisms, especially when they are in a slurry form. Owuamanam *et al.* (2010) reported that comparable pH ranges (4.2–5.78) were noted in certain additional cocoyam cultivars. Accordingly, preservation measures like adding chemical anti-microbial agents and antioxidants, decreasing water activity, and increasing storage life may be necessary (Owuamanam *et al.*, 2010). According to Davies *et al.* (2008), pH is a crucial property for starch-related industrial applications because it typically indicates whether a liquid medium is acidic or alkaline.

4.0 Conclusion and Recommendation

4.1 Conclusion

Their potential as thickeners is greater, as indicated by their functional properties. But after three months of storage, all of the varieties' functional qualities decreased. The results of the three-month storage period showed that *edeefe* had the highest mean value in terms of bulk density, wettability, gelation capacity, and gelation temperature; *cocoidia* had the highest mean value in terms of swelling index, water absorption capacity, foam stability, foam

capacity, viscosity, and pH; and *anampu* had the highest mean value in terms of oil absorption capacity alone. This demonstrated that *cocoidia* had higher values in functional properties than other varieties after 3 months storage which indicates that it would be a better thickener than other varieties in soup and sauce preparations.

4.2 Recommendation

In order to support *achicha's* complete inclusion in the list of vegetables in recipes for traditional cuisines, efforts should be directed toward identifying and refining appropriate food processing methods. Additional research on the impact of storing these products made from cocoyams should be done.

References

1. Abbey, B.W. and Ayuk, E.J. (1991). Functional properties of African yam bean flour (*Sphenasyllis sternocarpa*) Nig J Nutri Sci.; 12:44–46.
2. Abiodun O.A. and Akinoso, R. (2014). Physical and Functional Properties of Trifoliate Yam Flours as Affected by Harvesting Periods and Pre-treatment Methods. J. Food Process Technol 5:302.
3. Adepeju, A. B., Gbadamosi, S. O., Adeniran, A. H. and Omobuwajo, T. O. (2011). Functional and pasting characteristics of breadfruit (*Artocarpus altilis*) flours. African Journal of Food Science Vol. 5(9), pp. 529-535.
4. Agunbiade, S.O. and Sanni, M.O. (2003). The effect of ambient temperature of cassava tubers on starch quality. pp.180- 194 In: Root crops. The small processor and development of Local food Industries for market economy. Proceedings of the Eight Triennials symposium of the International society for Tropical Root Crops. African Branch, IITA, Ibadan, Nigeria.
5. Akubor, P.I. (2003). Functional Properties and Performance of Cowpea/ plantain/ Wheat flour blends in biscuits in Plant foods for Human Nutrition.58 (3):1-8.
6. Akomas, E. C. A., Mbanaso, E.C.A and Akoma, O.E.U. (1987). Food forms of cocoyam for home and commercial use. Proceedings of the 1st National Workshop on Cocoyam NRCRI, Umudike, Nigeria, pp. 187-195.
7. Alam, F. and Hasnain A. (2009). Studies on Swelling and Solubility of Modified Starch from Taro (*Colocasia esculenta*): Effect of pH and Temperature. *Agriculturae Conspectus Scientificus*, Vol 74, No 1, P.: 45-50
8. Ali, Z., Malik, R.N. and Abdul, Q. (2013). Heavy metals distribution and risk assessment in soils affected by tannery effluents Chem. Ecol., 29 (2013), pp. 676-692.
9. Amandikwa, C. (2012). Proximate and functional Properties of open Air, Solar and Oven dried cocoyam flour. Int'l Journal of Agric. and Rural Dev. 15 (2): 988-994
10. Amon, A.S., Soro, R.Y., Koffi, P.K.B., Dué, A.E., Kouamé LP. (2011). Biochemical characteristics of lour from Ivorian taro (*Colocasia esculenta*, cv yatn) corm as affected by boiling time. Adv. J. Food Sci. Tech.; 3(6): 424 – 435.

11. Anele, I. and Nwawuisi, J.U. (2008). Comparison of the effects of three pathogenic fungi on cocoyam storage. Proc.42nd Ann. Conf. Agric. Soc. of Nigeria. Ebonyi State University Abakiliki, pp 183-186.
12. Aremu, M.O., Olaofe, O., and Akintayo, E.T. (2007). Functional Properties of some Nigerian varieties of legume seed flour concentration effect on foaming and gelation properties. *J Food Technol.*;5 (2):109–115.
13. Chinnajarn, S., Reungrat Lirnroong, K., Sangnar, A. and Puttakal, W. Y. (2006). Development of Health chip from Jackfruit seed flour. Research Report, Faculty of Science, Burapha University of Chronbir.
14. Chukwu, M. N.; Kabuo, N. O. and Nwokocha, N. J. (2018). Effects of fermentation time on the functional properties of ogiri-ahuekere (*Arachis hypogaea* Linn) seed condiment. *International Journal of Biotechnology and Food Science* 6(5): 77-85.
15. David-Chukwu, N. P.; Onwuka, G. I.; Aji, R. U.; Odom, T. C. and Chukwu, M. N. (2021a). Production, Microbiological and Organoleptic Properties of Stored Cocoyam-based Products. *International Journal of Nutrition and Food Sciences*. 10(6): 134-143. doi: 10.11648/j.ijnfs.20211006.13
16. David-Chukwu, N. P.; Aji, R. U.; Ndukwe, K. O.; Odom, T. C.; Chukwu, M. N. (2021b). Production, Proximate Compositions and Dry Matters of Stored achicha and mpoto - Cocoyam Based Products. *International Journal of Nutrition and Food Sciences*. 10(6):144-152. doi: 10.11648/j.ijnfs.20211006.14
17. David-Chukwu, N. P.; Amadi, A. G.; Onuabuchi, I. C. and Chukwu, M. N. (2022). Influence of storage life and variety on the micro-nutrient compositions of stored cocoyam-based products. *Food Ther Health Care*.4(2):1-12.
18. Davies, E.M., Labuschagne, M., Benesi, M.R.I (2008). "Some properties of starches from Cocoyam (*Colocasia esculenta*) and Cassava (*Manihot esculenta* crants) grown in Malawi". *African Journal of Food Science* 2:102-111.
19. Eltayeb, A.R.S.M., Ali, A.O., Abou-Arab A-S.F.M. (2011) Chemical composition and functional properties of flour and protein isolate extracted from Bambara ground nut (*Vigna subterranean*) *African J Food Sci.*;5(2):82–90.
20. Eze, C C. and Okorji, E. C. (2003). Cocoyam production by women farmers under improved and local technologies in Imo State. *Nigeria Journal of Science* 5(1): 113-116.
21. Ezeocha, V.C., Omodamiro R.M., Oti E. and Chukwu, G.O. (2011) Development of trifoliate yam: Cocoyam composite flour for fufu production. *Journal of Stored Products and Postharvest Research* 2: 184-188.
22. Falade, K. and Okafor, C. A. (2015). Physical, functional, and pasting properties of flours from corms of two Cocoyam (*Colocasia esculenta* and *Xanthosoma sagittifolium*) cultivars *J Food Sci Technol*. 52(6): 3440–3448.
23. Hayata, M., Alpaslan, M. and Bayer, A.D. (2006). Effect of drying on functional properties of Tarhana. *International Journal of Food Science and Technology*; 29:457-462.
24. Houson, P. and Ayenor, G.S. (2002). Appropriate Processing and food functional properties of maize flour. *African Journal of Science and Technology*.3:121-126.
25. Ibebuchi, C.E. and Uzoegbu, J.O. (2002). Potentials of Wheat- cocoyam Flour blends in biscuit making. Proc.26th. Annual NIFST Conference, Owerri pp 37-38.
26. Iwe, M.O. (2003). The Science and Technology of Soyabean. Rojoint communication services LTD, Nigeria, pp. 123-128.
27. Jitngarmkusol, S., Hongsuwankul J. and Tananuwong, K. (2008). Chemical Compositions, Functional Properties and microstructure of defatted macadamice flours. *Food Chem.*; 110:23-30.
28. Kaur, M., Kaushal, P., Sandhu, K.S. (2013). Studies on Physicochemical and pasting properties of Taro (*Colocasia esculenta* L.) flour in comparison with a cereal, tuber and legume flour. *J Food Sci Technol*.
29. Kinsella, J.E., Desmondara, S. and German, B.B. (1985). Physical, Chemical and functional Properties of Oil Seed proteins with Emphasis on soy Proteins. Academic Press Inc., New York, pp 107 – 179.
30. Landau, S. and Everitt, B. S. (2004). A Handbook of Statistical Analyses using SPSS. Chapman & Hall/CRC Press LLC, New York, USA pp 140-200
31. Malomo, O., Ogunmoyela, O.A.B., Adekoyeni, O.O., Jimoh, O., Oluwajoba, S.O. and Sobanwa, M.O. (2012). Rheological and functional properties of soy-poundo yam flour. *International Journal of Food Science and Nutrition Engineering* 2(6):101-107.
32. Manner, H. I. and Taylor, M. (2010). Farm and forestry production and marketing profile for Taro (*Colocasia esculenta*). In: Elevitch, C. R. (ed), Specialty crops for Pacific Island Agroforestry, Permanent Agriculture Resources (PAR), Holualoa, Hawaii. <http://agroforestry.net/scps>.
33. Mbanali, U. G.; Chukwu, M. N. and Iwuagwu, M. O. (2018). Variation in the functional properties of blends of heat-treated local thickening seeds and cocoyam flour. *Research Journal of Food Science and Nutrition*, 3 (5): 74-83.
34. Mbanali, U. G.; Chukwu, M. N. and Iwuagwu, M. O. (2019). Effect of Heat Treatments on Pasting Properties of Local Thickening Seeds and Blending Ratios of Cocoyam Flour. *Direct Research Journal of Agriculture and Food Science*, 7 (3): 45-53.
35. Niba, L.L., Bokanga, M., Jackson, F.I., Sclimme, D.S. and Li, B.W. (2001). Physiochemical properties and starch granular characteristics of flour from various *Manihot esculenta* (cassava) genotypes. *Journal educational Sciences*. 67:1701.
36. Njintang, Y. N. and Mbofung, C. M. F. (2003). Development of taro *Colocasia esculenta* L. Schott) flour

- as ingredient in food processing: Effect of gelatinization and drying temperature on the dehydration kinetics and colour of flour. *Journal of food Engineering* 58: 259:265.
37. Njintang, N. Y., Mbofung ,C.M.F. and Kesteloot, R. (2007a). Multivariate analysis of the effect of drying method and particle size of flour on the Instrumental texture characteristics of paste made from two varieties of taro flour. *Journal of food Engineering*, 81:250-256.
 38. Njintang, Y.N., Mbofung, C.M.F., Moates, K.G., Parker, L., Fauld, C.B. and Smith, A.C. (2007b) Functional properties of five varieties of taro flour and relationship to creep recovery and sensory characteristics of achu (taro based paste). *J Food Eng.* 81:269-278
 39. Obiegbuna, J.E. Ishiwu, C.N. Akubor, P.I. and Igwe, E.C. (2014). Effect of Processing and storage Relative Humidity on Selected Functional Properties of Cocoyam (*Colocasia Esculenta*) Corm Flour. *Food Science and Quality Management*. Vol.28, 2014
 40. Onimawo, I. A and Akubor, P.I. (2012). *Food Chemistry (integrated Approach with Biochemical background)*. 2nd ed. Joytal Printing Press, Agbowo, Ibadan, Nigeria.
 41. Onwuka, G. I. (2018). *Food analysis and instrumentation: theory and practice*. Naphtali Prints, Lagos, Nigeria pp. 179-228.
 42. Onyeka, J. (2014). Status of Cocoyam (*Colocasia esculenta* and *Xanthosoma* spp) in West and Central Africa: Production, Household Importance and the Threat from Leaf Blight. Lima (Peru). CGIAR Research Program on Roots, Tubers and Bananas (RTB).
 43. Osundahusi, O., Fagbemi, T., Kesselman, E. and Shimoni, E. (2003). Comparison of the Physioco-chemical properties and pasting characteristics of flour and starch from red and white sweet potato cultivars. *Journal of Agriculture and food Chemistry*, 51: 2232-2236.
 44. Owuamanam, C. I., Ihediohanma, N.C. and Nwanekezi, E.C.(2010). Sorption Isotherm, Particle size, Chemical and Physical properties of Cocoyam corm Flours, *Researcher*, 2(8), pp. 1-9.
 45. Peter-Ikechukwu, A. I.; Ibeabuchi, J. C.; Eluchie, C. N.; Agunwa, I. M.; Aneke, E. J.; Chukwu, M. N.; Ogbuagu, J.C. and Okafor, D.C. (2019). Functional Properties of Sausage Rolls Made from Cocoyam and Wheat Flour Enriched with Soybean Flour. *Food Science and Nutrition Studies* 3(2):39-53.
 46. Peter-Ikechukwu, A. I.; Ogazi. C. G.; Uzoukwu, A. E.; Kabuo, N. O. and Chukwu, M. N. (2020). Proximate and Functional Properties of Composite Flour Produced With Date Fruit Pulp, Toasted Watermelon Seed and Wheat. *J Food Chem Nanotechnol* 6(3): 159-166. <https://doi.org/10.17756/jfcn.2020-096>
 47. Sai-Ut, S., Ketnawa,S.,Chaiwut, P.,Rawadkuen, S. (2009). Biochemical and functional properties of proteins from red kidney, navy and adzuki beans. *Asian J. Food Agro- Ind.*; 2(4): 493-504.
 48. Sanni, L.O., Akingbala, J.O., Oguntunde, A.O. Bainbridge, Z.A., Graffthan, A.J. and Wesby, A. (2006). *Processing of Fufu from cassava in Nigeria: Problems and Prospects for Development*.
 49. Soundy, I. D., Delatour, P., Grancher D. (2010). Effects of traditional soaking on the nutritional profile of taro flour (*Colocasia esculenta* L. Schott) produced in Chad. *Revue Med Vet* 1: 37-42.
 50. Udensi, E.A., Oselebe, H.O. and Iweala, O. O. (2008). The investigation of chemical composition and functional properties of water yam (*Dioscorea alata*): Effect of varietal differences. *Pak Journal of Nutr.* 2008; 7(2): 342-344.
 51. Zhou, T., Zhang, T., Liu, W. and Zhao, G., (2011). Physioco-chemical characteristics and functional properties of grape (*Vitis vinifera* L.) seeds protein. *International Journal of Food Science and Technology*, 46, 635-641.